

The
Anti-Defamation
League

*and Its Use in
the World Communist
Offensive*

By ROBERT H. WILLIAMS

"A picture of what more and more Americans regard, with alarm, to be a secret police among us; and its relation to the world movement which threatens our civilization, reported by an Army reserve Intelligence officer."

INTRODUCTION

Many persons have come to, or written to, CLOSER-UPS for an answer to the question: "What is the Anti-Defamation League?" They number United States Congressmen, military and civil officials, Jews, Gentiles, Catholics, Protestants, workers, professors, excited persons, collected persons, "crackpots", responsible and weighty persons.

On the lips of too many people of all kinds today is the whisper: "Look out! — Shh! —The Anti-Defamation League! Deservedly or not, it has achieved in the minds of too many Jewish and Gentile Americans alike a fear-inspiring quality and a status above the law such as attached to the private secret police organizations of upcoming directors in unfortunate European countries.

Some persons have come with apprehensions that their telephones have been tapped, their mail opened, their names referred to smear sheets and their intimate associations intruded upon, their professional standing attacked, their business boycotted, their employers or customers alienated by a mysterious network organization with vast funds and agents available to follow cases anywhere. Some have suffered legal persecution. Some American citizens of Jewish ancestry have come to confide that their own feelings and better judgment were ruthlessly over-ridden in the process of campaigning and collecting funds for the purposes of "fighting anti-Semitic defamation."

It is high time, therefore, to reveal this organization and its money raising and money-spending activities. It is time to discover its place in American life and its part in Jewish world community trends. If some sinister force is using the tremendous potentialities of this richly-financed staff, its own officials and representatives ought to be called to account, and its supporters ought to know such facts. The American people and American Congress ought to know them.

With painstaking care, Major Robert H. Williams (Military Intelligence, Reserve)*, counter-intelligence, as conscientious an officer as our military intelligence ever had, and a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica supplement, "*Ten Eventful Years*", has prepared the following report. If there is further interest, a Congressional Committee should take up from here. To go further would require official investigative authority, unless the high command of the A D L itself should wish to throw open to the public its complete files and records of activities. If this study of the A D L is unfair or incorrect, a Congressional Committee and a public hearing are the League's proper recourse of which, in all honor, it should avail itself.

This is not a study of the Jewish question. It is purely an investigation into a specific organization and its relation to human rights and American procedures. It does pose the question of whether or not this specific organization, in final sum, is a help or a hindrance to good relations between our people of all racial and religious affiliations in the United States, or whether, under the guise of rendering rather costly aid, it is actually doing the work of an enemy.

Upton Close

* Mr Williams is no longer connected with the military service. He was [honorably] discharged Dec. 21, 1950, on the day Anna Rosenberg was confirmed as Assistant Secretary of Defense in charge of military personnel, after he had exposed the Communist-aiding record of Mrs. Rosenberg.

Reprinted by permission of the author.

1974

1990

PART I

WHAT IS THE "ANTI-DEFAMATION" LEAGUE?

What *is* the Anti-Defamation League? *Who* is it? What does it do? These questions are heard with increasing frequency.

The full name of the group is "Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith." To start at the end first, B'nai B'rith (Hebrew for "Children of the Covenant") is the oldest and largest American fraternal lodge for Jews only. It was founded in 1843, called "purely an American institution," although it has branches in many countries. ("B'nai B'rith represents Jewry throughout the world"—*B'nai B'rith* magazine, July, 1937, page 352, quoting Ludwig Lewisohn.) Many B'nai B'rith lodges sponsor forums or other active discussion groups on the problems of the day and cultural matters. B'nai B'rith claims to have, during its century of history (for the most part), resisted attempts from within and without to drag it into partisan politics and international controversial questions such as Zionism. But it has, at times, been flavored with strong partisanship. Leanings in its lodges were recently frankly interventionist and New Deal.

An organization of similar name and purpose to the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith existed in Germany and border European countries during the years following the First World War. Its stated purpose was to stop and prevent the growth of anti-Jewish feeling among the defeated German people, who tended to look for a scape-goat for their humiliation, and resented the activities of citizens of Jewish name and connections during these hard years. Its methods were such that it probably aggravated, instead of discouraged, ill-feeling. Some who are now American citizens (refugees from Hitler) say that for this reason they parted from the Anti-Defamation League of this period in Germany and Alsace-Lorraine. They report having suffered a degree of reprisal for their independent judgment.

The Anti-Defamation League of the B'nai B'rith was organized in 1913 in Chicago. Its heavily staffed national headquarters there knits together fifteen branches in large cities. I do not have accurate information as to the number of its executives, clerks, attorneys and informers. One spokesman said "Two hundred." This would mean a pro rata budget \$25,000 for each employee. However, according to the appeal of the national chairman for the 1945 Joint Defense Appeal Campaign for Four Million Dollars for the American Jewish Committee and the Anti-Defamation

League of B'nai B'rith. (commented on in *Closer-Ups* Volume I, Number 6, August 27, 1945), "The Community Service Division consists of a central staff, 150 public relations committees in as many cities, 14 (now 15) regional offices and 2,000 key men in 1,000 cities."

The A D L is said to receive a regular budget from the B'nai B'rith lodges. It augments this with campaigns that raise millions of dollars through public and private subscription. These fund-raising campaigns are conducted with great vigor and professional drive, aided by a degree of hysteria created by picturing some fearful invisible force rising to terrorize Jews in the United States. The campaign literature shows pictures of lynchings (although no American Jew has been lynched, to my knowledge. Lynchings of negroes are rare and fortunately growing rarer). The Nazi swastika is repeatedly shown on page after page of literature, the implication being that millions of non-Jewish Americans are transplanted Nazis, or in league with that evil movement.

The results are tremendous. The League reported raising \$4,000,000 in 1946. Its goal for 1947 is \$5,000,000.

Founder of the League in 1913 was Sigmund Livingston, who also served as perennial chairman till last October when he was succeeded by Meyer Steinbrink of Brooklyn, a member of the New York State Supreme Court. In honor of the retiring chairman ten fellowships were established to provide annual awards in colleges and universities for winners in essays on the study of group prejudice.

Richard E. Gutstadt is the guiding genius behind the legal and investigative staff.

But the power behind the secret organization is Henry Monsky, Omaha, Neb., attorney, head of B'nai B'rith since 1938. Monsky is active in a wide range of welfare and educational organizations, both Jewish and Gentile. He has been a member of the board of trustees of Father Flanagan's Boys' Home since 1925, was a member of the national board of the Community Chest in 1935, is a member of the national council, Boy Scouts of America, and served on the voluntary committee of the Office of Civilian Defense during the war. He is honorary chairman of the United Palestine Appeal and the United Jewish Appeal. Samuel I. Rosenman, adviser to the late Franklin D. Roosevelt, both at the governor's mansion in Albany, N. Y., and later at the White House, writer of documents and speeches for both Roosevelt and Truman, was Monsky's New York state chairman of B'nai B'rith.

SUPPRESSION OF SPEECH

The purpose of the remarkable fund and unusual staff engaged in administering it is generally given as: to combat racial and religious intolerance, specifically against Jews. This is done through: (1) a *negative* or suppressive program against real and supposed opposition, and (2) a *positive* or propaganda program of great scope and power.

The negative, suppressive program sets up a self-constituted censorship

over public speech, fixing a watchful eye on every radio, every lecture platform, every publisher, every movie film producer, every school teacher, every political party, every public official and every citizen who becomes conspicuous or influential.

The surveillance extends to private clubs and drawing rooms. The directors of an exclusive club in Miami, Florida, were told by two self-designated agents of the A D L *whom* their club should *not* permit to address it. These agents offered to provide the expenses of calling off the engagement. The same suppressive attempt has been made many times in many parts of the nation.

According to government officials in investigative duties, and to my own information when on duty as a counter-intelligence officer, the League maintains a large number of investigators who gather information on any individual marked for surveillance or public disgrace. Information goes to a central file. From there it is distributed to branches and to an interminable number of groups or individuals, either automatically or on request.

Representatives of the Anti-Defamation League, according to its own "confidential" letter of appeal in 1945, have called on thousands of editors and publishers to persuade them, or hint to them, not to publish material which would displease the League, such as speeches by persons on the A D L black list. The editor of one of America's great dailies told me that local A D L representatives called on him, bringing along an expert from the Chicago headquarters. Their request was that the newspaper should never mention certain men favorably. Beyond that they were vague as to demands. In this way they made it plain, I was told, that they were putting in their presence to alert the editor not to offend them; a kind of hooded censorship. The editor recognized that they could withhold enough advertising to cut deeply into the paper's revenues, perhaps bankrupt it, and that they could smear him till he would have to leave town.

A careful study shows that the persons singled out as A D L victims are seldom anti-Semitic but are always anti-Communist.

Here is an illustration of how the smear works. A lecturer, John Blank, talking against Communists and upholding Jeffersonian principles of our Constitution, but never having said a disparaging word against a Jew as a Jew, is booked to lecture at a convention, a forum or club—perhaps a Republican club. As soon as the lecture engagement is announced, some prominent citizens—Jewish or Gentile or both—call on the booking committee to urge cancellation of the lecture. In a confidential manner they "inform" the committee that John Blank is anti-Semitic. Anti-Semitism is an ugly word in America. It is apt to frighten the men or women of the program committee who booked the lecture. Likely they are handed some typed or printed material, nearly always anonymous but in some instances bearing the stamp of the Anti-Defamation League or group friendly to it, which is cleverly put together to show what a vicious, un-American character is this man Blank. The club can see that it is going to be intimi-

dated and harassed locally and, if it is a political club, that it may lose some support for its candidates at the polls and *Jewish financial support for election campaigns* if it refuses to cancel the scheduled lecture.

Often the local citizens who make the contact with the club officers are gullible innocents. They have been approached by unseen figures who have sold them a bill of goods. They do not always think to demand proof. The club officers likewise may not think of that. They do not always investigate to learn what John Blank is really saying. They may not examine the smear literature closely to see that it is a clever fraud. Blank is never given a chance to face his original accusers and demand to see their defamatory evidence; he is never given the chance to defend himself.

The press carries a sensational story that Blank's engagement was cancelled, due to something mysterious in his past or present connections; the public does not understand, and Blank's reputation is injured. The word goes around that he is "a Fascist or anti-Semite or something." His chance to continue earning a living in his profession is damaged, as is his opportunity to serve his country.

Big names often are used further to condemn the anti-Communist speaker. For example, when a certain lecturer in a Pennsylvania city last year revealed that Communists are plotting to throw Jew against Gentile, he was reported instead as having delivered an anti-Jewish speech and a newspaper asked the Governor to comment. The Governor condemned anti-Semitism, as was proper; but when he learned the true text instead of distorted version of the lecture he had not himself heard, he issued another statement correcting the erroneous impression made by his former statement. The defamation press was loath to carry the correction or played it down so that it was not widely read, until the governor became insistent.

The following is a typical example of the way private secret police are working: A young man placed in the files of the A D L for his intense opposition to intervention in the war, but in no way guilty of attacking persons as Jews, returned from the service to find himself the object of a smear campaign. Pressure was put on his employer to get rid of him. The manager said he liked the man's work and saw no justification for firing him. But the firm had many Jewish customers who could be made to believe it was their enemy. The threat of great loss of business was too much. "With regrets" the ex-GI was cut off from his source of livelihood.

Such an act is a violation of Section 51, Title 18 of the U. S. Criminal code, being a conspiracy to bar a citizen from the full enjoyment of his Constitutional rights. Maximum penalty is \$5,000 fine and ten years imprisonment. But the victim may not have had sufficient proof of the identity of the guilty organization or individual. Perhaps his employer would be threatened with bankruptcy if he should testify in court on behalf of the victim. Perhaps the prosecuting attorney would feel it politically unwise to take up the case, or perhaps the court itself could

be influenced or selected for its known friendliness. There are indications that these things have happened. There seems to be evidence that some courts are favorable to certain organisations which operate in the manner of Hitler's early secret police.

I do not accuse the Anti-Defamation League of having inspired this particular act, but I am sure that as long as the A D L works in secret and commits such acts of defamation as are well known, it is going to be suspect.

QUALITY OF ADL INFORMATION

Some files made available to government agencies by A D L representatives during the war were helpful in checking on the activities and records of certain pro-Nazi suspects. However, I am informed, and I think reliably, that much of the material collected shows a lack of understanding—or willful ignoring—of what constitutes treason and other crime, under the Constitution and laws of the United States. Much of the information deals with the question of whether or not the individual loves all of his neighbors or just some of them. I do not know that it is ever stated in any of these files whether or not the accused may have been given reason to dislike or suspect or fear some of his neighbors. The tenor of much of the reports, I believe, is based on the assumption that anti-Semitism is a crime and should be severely punished, and on the further assumption that opposition to the aims and purposes of the A D L itself constitutes anti-Semitism. That is, that anyone opposed or believed to be opposed to the aims and activities of the Anti-Defamation League should be punished. Which puts the ADL—in its own private interpretation of what is punishable—above the law.

It is the intent to "improve" the law, in order to take the prejudice out of people by law—to make them love others through fear. If you do not believe that men in this free America can spend millions of dollars pursuing such an idea, let me remind you that Russia and all its puppet guillotine regimes have made anti-Semitism a crime, subject to severe punishment.

But this subject will be dealt with more at length in the second section.

The Anti-Defamation League urges a so-called Fair Employment Practices Commission (FEPC), which as finally established by law in New York state (with the active help of Governor Thomas E. Dewey) and as proposed in many another state, requires an employer to hire and promote personnel according to the dictates of an appointive board which may fine and imprison any employer. The employer must hire and promote negroes, Jews and other minorities as the board may direct—which amounts to forcing the employer to discriminate racially and religiously according to another's preference or prejudice.

Let me quote for you a statement from a Jewish publication, *The Day*, on the advantage the Jews are told they may expect wherever an FEPC

law is enacted. Dr. S. Margoshes, in his regular column in that publication, issue of July 11, 1946, said: "FEPC is as much a Jewish issue as anyone can think of. . . . It must be evident that the sooner we get such agency established and in working order the sooner we shall be ready to stand the impact of the economic depression." I cannot believe this represents the true sentiments of the wiser Jewish leaders, but the fact remains that few Jews have raised their voices against this measure which would be a sure way to set in motion a wave of anti-Semitism.

There is another law closely associated with the FEPC in political circles, championed by many people who support the FEPC, which should be mentioned here to show the direction in which the FEPC would lead. That is a law to make any derogatory reference to a Jew a criminal offense. This would give much immunity to criminals and schemers willing to hide behind it. It would tempt Communists and the criminal underworld to go into the Jewish community *en masse!*

Yet a Congressman had the supreme stupidity to introduce such a proposal into Congress last June. Ordinances thus discriminating racially between citizens have been proposed to city councils to accomplish the same anti-Constitutional ends. It was former Representative Patterson of Los Angeles who introduced the bill in the House. The Bill mentioned only Jews—"Jews as a nation, people or religious group." It did not offer equal protection to negroes, Swedes, Danes, Spanish, Russian, German, Polish or British Americans. Under its provisions you could be jailed for defending yourself against viciousness on the part of one or more members of the Jewish community. The Communist Party championed it with daily headlines in its press, and would make dastardly use of it. In the section of this article dealing with Russia you will see to what use this law has been put there.

As stated, this is a companion piece to the FEPC. At the time being the Communist Party is letting the New York state FEPC law alone except perhaps for subtle planting of their agents in desired positions by the ruse of demanding racial "fairness." They need not be expected seriously to abuse this law till they have "sold" it to many other states and the federal government. Then we may look out.

The suppressive program of the Anti-Defamation League appears to have wide ramifications. The League is generally accused of cooperating with many other groups. We should be given the full facts. It certainly is known to have had a hand in defaming certain persons who opposed the New Deal, some whose only "sin" was to fight to keep America from becoming embroiled in war, some whose "crime" has been that of attacking Communism and trying to preserve Americanism.

An organization such as the League, set up to spy on the whole country and unhampered for funds, naturally would become a prime objective for Communist infiltration. And Communists have not overlooked the opportunity.

LEAGUE LIKED LIBELER

Officials of the League must be given credit for recognizing the likelihood of Marxist infiltration. A League official recently admitted they had failed to prevent it, but said they were trying to keep Reds out. At the same time he said the League could not enter a campaign against Communism—that would be "taking political sides." League officials point to several self-proclaimed Republicans among their officials. Yet some of the literature they send out is written by men long identified with pro-Communist activities, such men as Carey McWilliams.

The League does not appear shame-faced that it hired, as an undercover man to snoop on honorable American citizens for nearly two years, the now convicted defamer and dealer in trash, untruth and "twaddle," John Roy Carlson, whose real name is Avedis Boghos Derounian. This Armenian by race, born in Greece, helped to crush the anti-Communists of his own race. He now mildly disavows Communism, but he passes ammunition to the Reds who fire the guns, and therefore must share their guilt. He was, in fact—despite his cheapness of method or perhaps because of it—one of the most valuable contributors to Communism during the war years, because he successfully smeared for a time a number of prominent American leaders exposing Communism.

I confess, not without chagrin, that—though I was engaged in Intelligence work at this time—when the Derounian smear volume *Undercover* came out, I thought perhaps Derounian really had something on the victims. His method was to associate in one way or another an honorable man with one or two persons either in disgrace or suspected of being pro-Nazi. It now is clear that many of the victims, if not in fact all, were innocent of any connection with Nazi bund activities and with the persons with whom Derounian cleverly contrived to associate them. A federal court has so ruled, shaming the would-be prosecutors. The men chosen for this character assassination, I eventually became convinced, were so attacked solely because they opposed Communism, the war and the devices of the New Deal which were tending to involve us in war. I am not debating here the wisdom of our having so involved ourselves; I am frank to defend, however, the right of an honorable and loyal American citizen to speak up whenever he thinks we are heading in the wrong direction.

As you perhaps know by now, three federal court verdicts have found Derounian guilty of libel, and Federal Judge Barnes of Chicago said of *Undercover*, after many days of hearings, "I find this book 500 pages of twaddle—mere twaddle. . . . I would not believe this author if he were on oath. . . . I believe he would do anything for a dollar."

The *Chicago Tribune* reported that a libel suit for \$1,000,000 damages against Walter Winchell was filed in the same federal court, charging this keyhole reporter with having plugged the smear book over his network. Derounian—who has operated under fifteen aliases and who was

accused by Judge Barnes of having distributed anti-Semitic literature himself at one time for particular purposes and who boasts of duplicity and lying—now has a book on sale called *The Plotters*, attempting to continue his money-making graft at the expense of reputations of men promoting Americanism and fighting Communism.

Such is the man the Anti-Defamation League hired for nearly two years as investigator. The League now says Derounian was satisfactory—or its purposes. I quote from the *Chicago Tribune* of January 16, 1947: "A spokesman for the Anti-Defamation League said today that services of Avedis Boghos Derounian had been found 'satisfactory and reliable' while he was in the League's employ between 1939 and 1941." If the Derounian standard is the standard for the "Anti-Defamation" League in its work of defamation, and its self-designated job of educating our children, I should say a Congressional investigation of the League is urgently needed.

One of the most active operations of Communism in America is that of attacking anti-Communists on the charge, often false, of anti-Semitism. For many years the Communist interpretation of anti-Semitic, however, has been *anti-Communist*. The purpose of this device is to frighten Jews into the Party (and to have them bring along the friends they have created among other minorities by their sympathetic activities). In this vastly significant activity—that of attacking anti-Communists as anti-Semitic—the A D L is extremely active. The similarity to the work of the Communists on this theme is inescapable.

New York's largest pro-Marxist daily, *PM*, issue of August 21, 1946, carried an article quoting a spokesman of the A D L demanding that the Federal Communications Commission investigate a certain radio commentator accused of being anti-Semitic. (The accusation is false, I am prepared to say, since I know the accused intimately.) Specifically he was charged with being "a transmission belt for nationalist propaganda." The implication is plain, and it is important that more Americans understand it: That if you are for "nationalism" (which I have always understood to mean loyalty to country), you are anti-Semitic. According to this reasoning, anybody for his own country first instead of for the International, is anti-Semitic. Meaning to say that all Jews are Internationalists.

By this logic the A D L places itself in the position of siding with the Communist-Internationalist. This is *self-accusation*, not my accusation. It is my belief that you cannot be loyal to country and also to some outside power which would dominate your country.

This spokesman may have thought his organisation still had power enough over the FCC to get the accused radio commentator ruled off the air—because many a pro-American commentator has suffered that discrimination. The FCC could not oblige, for it is itself under Congressional suspicion and the face of the FCC itself is a guilty Red. But even an

investigation of the accused commentator would have served (as *PM* and Marxist publications would have played it up) to discredit him.

PARASITES OR OFFSPRING?

The League's activities are largely behind the scenes. More open in "checking up" and making charges and interfering with citizens' rights are groups officially described as Communist-fronts. Some of these have made use of A D L literature, but we naturally suspect that a great deal of this kind of activity has been accomplished without the League's identity becoming known to the public or the victims.

"Check-up" and secret-police activities parallel to those of the A D L are carried on widely by the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League and the "Friends of Democracy," recently dragged out into the light of day by John T. Flynn in his historic pamphlet, "*The Smear Terror*," (published by the author at 15 East 40th St., New York 16, New York.)

In many cities throughout the country the American Veterans Committee, well known for its heavy Communist infiltration and its championing of Communist legislative objectives, sends out goon squads to harass and intimidate speakers and to intimidate audiences to prevent them from hearing speakers who oppose Communism.

The national commander of the Jewish War Veterans of America last year stated in a press conference before several newsmen that his organization was "fighting Fascism." Specifically, he told of an instance in Chicago where his squads had caused a near riot in order to deny a speaker the right to speak and an audience the right to hear its own privately chosen speaker.

These are a few of the groups which cluster about the ADL—either through community of interest and method or in spite of its lack—interfering with the right of free speech and peaceful assembly. They bear a striking resemblance in technique and objectives to Communist gangs wherever the scourge of Marxism has risen. Their methods are not unlike those employed by Hitler's underground before he took over in Germany.

Americans have a right to know what relationship these groups have to each other. After all, probably a good hundred million Americans are nationalists, and proud of it, though this fact has been too often scorned these fifteen years.

It is asked, also, what connection, if any, the League has with some extremely loud, but effective, Sunday afternoon and evening radio propagandists. It is asked whether or not any of the League's funds have aided periodicals which stir animosity between Protestant and Catholic as an aid to the Communist attack on Christianity. It is suggested that if the League is such a good thing that it deserves five million dollars from the public to spend in one year—to augment four million dollars' worth of free propaganda—why cannot its operations and books be thrown wide open to every-

body? Why does it work secretly, and why must its reports be confidential? What is it hiding, and why?

ADL IN POLITICS

The charge of anti-Semitism has become perhaps the most potent weapon in the Communist arsenal as well as in League operations. It has been used with telling effect by individuals and groups in political campaigns, in the internal politics of organizations and even in connection with religion in public schools. Senator Ives of New York was viciously attacked as anti-Semitic by the Communist press and also by some Jewish organs in his campaign last fall, although actually Ives has gone so far toward favoring special privilege for minorities as to champion an F E P C. Apparently the purpose of the smear in this instance was not so much to defeat Ives as to elect his Jewish opponent, former Governor Lehman.

Congressman Stratton of Illinois suffered a similar smear attack.

One of the most vicious and unjustified smears of our political history, of course, was that which defeated veteran Senator Burton K. Wheeler for re-election in the 1946 campaign. Much of the filth thrown at Sen. Wheeler cannot be printed here, but it included anti-Semitism — despite Wheeler's record of friendliness toward the Jewish community and his support of legislation favorable to that community. Wheeler opposed our entry into the war, and therefore was an enemy of the Left. He also once dared to urge that such activities as the Anti-Defamation League be abandoned, pointing out that they create more anti-Semitism than does the output of all the crackpots and bigots of the American scene, filling Gentiles with suspicion and fear, making the Jew super-sensitive and perhaps bitter.

Among the revealed contributors to Wheeler's defamation were: Arthur J. Goldsmith, Albert Lasker and Edmund Waterman, all New Yorkers, the last named being "a leader of the Anti-Defamation League," as revealed by George E. Sokolsky in his column in the *Washington Times-Herald* of October 11, 1946. Sokolsky also exposed the Anti-Defamation League and the American Jewish Congress for having attacked Wheeler as an anti-Semite, saying, "I was ashamed of their infamy and called upon him (Wheeler) to tell him so. I found that he was deeply hurt by the unfairness and untruthfulness of the charge."

The columnist commented, "It is growing increasingly difficult for outstanding men to run for public office and to debate important issues because of the smear tactics employed by the vicious groups centering in New York and operating out of Hollywood and Chicago."

Smear activities may have played a part in the defeat last fall of Congressman Dean Gillespie of Denver, who lost his seat to a Democrat in a year of Republican landslides. Gillespie covered up local pressure and intimidation which, exposed to his electorate, might have returned him to Washington.

How many times a Senator or Congressman or other public servant has been pressured or intimidated, how much support has been forced for political measures under threat or fear of intimidation, we will never know; but it is safe to say that many public officials would like to have done with the League and other secretly operating groups which always carry the implied threat of defamation.

The accusation of anti-Semitism, accompanied by the dissemination of "information sheets" from the files of the A D L and kindred groups becomes a racket in the politics of private organizations for selfish purposes. It also gets into national, state, county and district school politics.

A recent incident is significant: A woman lawyer who had rendered signal service in obtaining woman's rights and other anti-discrimination accomplishments in some states was in line for election to the presidency of the Woman's Bar Association at the recent National Bar Association convention at Atlantic City. Suddenly a delegation supporting a dark horse candidate of their own background arrived on the scene from New York City, making the utterly false charge that the prominent candidate was anti-Semitic. They distributed surreptitiously some so-called information sheets. The intimidation frightened even some of the main candidate's intimate friends, including a prominent Jewish woman attorney, who told the now falsely maligned woman that they could do nothing in the face of such charges—since that would bring down the smear on them also!

The dark horse candidate who used, or permitted to be used, such tactics won by five votes, but hundreds of delegates, influential persons in their communities, went back to every part of the United States to spread their resentment of the smear conspiracy.

It should be well understood that Communism makes Christianity its number one enemy (though some of my Protestant denomination do not appear to understand this fully) and that it wants Jews also to attack the Christian religion. Many Jewish leaders make it plain that they understand this false leadership. Nevertheless, the Reds make progress. The *New York Times* of January 15, 1947, carried a dispatch under a Davenport, Iowa, date line, revealing that Jewish protests had stopped a religious society from giving New Testaments to school children, even though the donations were purely on a voluntary basis. The dispatch quoted Rabbi Abram Vossen Goodman as saying:

"Subsequent to the distribution of these New Testaments many Jewish parents—members of Temple Emanuel—approached me concerning the propriety of this innovation. I have brought the matter before the board of the congregation which, after obtaining legal advice, has authorized me to protest."

The Rabbi's protest was successful. The school board of Davenport, Iowa, permitted one religious sect to intimidate it and prevent the children of Davenport schools from accepting New Testaments.

Rabbi Goodman may not have known that this attack on Christianity

was Communist-inspired, but a reliable informant has given me information which makes such inspiration seem highly probable.

THE POSITIVE APPROACH OF THE ADL

The *positive* program of the Anti-Defamation League is described by one of its own officials as follows: "To send literature to various groups, work through the radio, the motion picture industry and other media; to subsidize speakers' bureaus and suggest speakers to schools, forums and clubs; to subsidize and publish periodicals, pamphlets and books (from comic strip books to literature) fostering good will and condemning discrimination, whether social, political or economic, to encourage movements, meetings, programs of all kinds, use every advertising media from newspaper ads to billboards."

The solicitation letter of the National Chairman for the 1945 appeal claims: "This is a high-powered educational program geared *to reach every man, woman and child every day of the year*—through the press—over the radio—through advertising—*comic books—schools—speakers—information—community service—movies—churches—labor—and special groups.*" *It claimed 216 individual station broadcasts a day in 1945 and a goal of 65,000 for 1946.* (For this it received a special award from *Variety*, notoriously left-wing paper of the amusement trade). It listed 26 full page ads run in 397 newspapers, 2,000 insertions reaching 100,000,000 readers (space free), a series of 12 posters displayed on 1,000 billboards in 130 cities costing a quarter million dollars, 16,000 cards in 24 cities, space on payroll envelopes, blotters and millions of match covers. *It claimed to influence 1,900 daily newspapers with 43,000,000 circulation, plus the rural press, the foreign language press, the negro press, and the labor press with 10,000,000 readers. It recorded 330,000 books placed in libraries during the year, 9,000,000 pamphlets "tailored to fit the audiences," and 40,000,000 propaganda-laden books of comic strips to children and men in the armed forces.* It "presented the most noted names on the lecture platform" *to more than 30,000,000 people by subsidized lecture bureaus. It arranged for and aided special movie shorts and radio programs by stars in the amusement world.*

The *Jewish Year Book* for 1945-46, page 700, says, in a chapter on Domestic Public Relations Activities in 1944: "*Our message has been included in every variety of radio program from 'soap operas' to news and sports commentaries. The value of commercial rates of the radio time available to us without cost in 1944 is estimated at close to four million dollars.*"

A special project is the production and circulation of "educational" films and comic strips, to various audiences including labor unions and school children.

An example of the ADL-indoctrinated motion picture film, one of the ADL officials stated, is a picture called *Till the End of Time*. A typical scene from the usual indoctrinated motion picture is one in which a hand-

some young ex-service man knocks down another (who is cast as a "pug ugly" to be instantly disliked) because the second veteran invites the first to join a veterans group which accepts only Christian Gentiles.

SOME EFFECTS OF THE POSITIVE PROGRAM

Such movies, however well meant, create race consciousness, suspicion and eventually hatred. The movie goer begins to wonder why this grossly exaggerated theme is being thrown at him week after week. He would resent with nausea a picture which reversed the situation: In which, for instance, one Jew knocked down another because the second invited the first to join the exclusive Jewish War Veterans of America or the equally exclusive Young Men's Hebrew Association. He begins to notice that the Jews have hundreds of clubs which he, as a Gentile, could not get into or in which he would not be wanted. I am sure he does not begrudge them their exclusiveness, and would actively oppose any imposition on the rights of Jews as American citizens.

But he wonders more and more why all the one-sided propaganda.

The example of violence over the race question is a dangerous preaching. It is precisely the method of the Communists, who organize violence on a wide scale, sponsor gang warfare and eternally pit one race against another. Violence on the race issue also was encouraged by the Ku Klux Klan in its heyday.

The A O L program makes the Jew frightfully race conscious, jumpy at the slightest shadow (or appearance of a shadow) of feeling against his race. Marxists take advantage, stimulating his fear. The cry of "anti-Semitism" hurled against men whose only public activity has been to oppose Communism, is the same cry the Communists raise. *It is well known in investigative offices that Communists are instructed to brand all opposition as anti-Semitic. This frightens the Jews and tends to make them run to the Communist-fronts for protection.*

Who are the speakers the A D L sends to talk to our children in the schools? And by what right does the Anti-Defamation League presume to "educate" our children?

In Denver last year one of their speakers. Dr. Carl Herman Voss, a paid executive of the American Jewish Committee which jointly with the A D L raises and spends the Joint Defense Fund, was strongly pro-Soviet. He said, for example: "My only fear is that the Russians will withdraw their troops from the Balkans too soon—*before those people become democratized.*" The statement was quoted in the *Denver Post*. Dr. Voss addressed every high school and junior high school in the city and county of Denver. In January, 1947, he told the Daytona Beach, Florida, Forum in the high school that, "Russia wants more buffer states for her security"; that he is not an "anti-Communist"; that certain anti-Communist radio commentators and Senator Wheeler—"all of these must go." I repeat: Dr. Voss is one of the prominent officials of the committee which helps the A D L raise and spend the funds.

Also addressing Denver and other public schools was Ethel Alpenfels, who openly ridicules Americanism and subtly undermines racial traditions and pride. Here is a quotation from a recent article of hers: "Ralph Linton has summarized the day of a '100 per cent. American.' Dawn finds him in pajamas, a garment of East Indian origin. He glances at the clock (medieval European invention) and goes into the bathroom (porcelain from China, toothbrush from 16-century Europe, soap from ancient Gaul, toilet from Roman original, razor an iron-carbon alloy from India). . . . Very likely as he scans the news of the day *he thanks God (a Hebrew deity)* in an Indo-European language that he is a 100 per cent. (decimal system invented by Greeks) American (named after Amerigo Vespucci, Italian geographer)."

You would dismiss this prattle with no more thought than brushing off a green fly if it were said once and in the spirit of fun. But when it is said viciously over and over, from school to school, by a paid lecturer, it is time to find out the aims and purposes of all organizations sponsoring her and her ilk.

Shortly after Hiroshima, Miss Alpenfels began urging, before school children and other audiences, that we give our atomic secrets to all nations, "not to Russia alone," she hastened to explain—but it is noted that Russia was one of the United Nations, the only one who really appeared to want the bomb.

Miss Alpenfels has written a book called *A Race with Time*—the title punning on the word "race," she says. Her contribution is to soften the foundations of Anglo-Saxon type tradition, the traditions on which Western civilization largely lifted itself out of serfdom. I observe that she is addressing *Gentile* audiences on the theme that in effect says we should be ashamed to think of race pride. I contend that people of any race surely should cherish the memory of its leaders who helped establish great ideals and traditions. Her words appear to apply to all minorities who may happen to be in the audience, shaming them too for pride in their ancestry and the efforts of their race to set up for itself ideals and patterns of conduct. But the effect of her line is unmistakably against the "majority" and, by maudlin crying on the shoulders of minorities, tends to sharpen their race consciousness and to make them suspicious, fearful and bitter toward the supposedly arrogant majority. Discrediting Nazi-like *racial arrogance* is one thing, ridiculing and breaking down *racial pride* is quite something else.

It cannot be overlooked that the same people who support such attacks on the Anglo-Saxons are at the same time stirring the Jew to extreme race pride.

HOW THE FLAME IS FANNED

Here we come back to the very heart of the *positive* program of the Anti-Defamation League. The League pours a constant stream of this kind of propaganda into America, especially designed to influence children

and youth. The repetition of this theme makes it effective. If children repeatedly see pictures and hear stories which exaggerate cruelty to minorities at the expense of Anglo-Saxon traditions—the effect is to take advantage of our natural good-will and sympathy, to establish a feeling that we are vicious toward minorities.

Meanwhile, such activity drives older people to fear and suspect evil in this program of propaganda. This is a subtle campaign. It is hard to put your finger on any one item. But the effect is enormous on the school children. Some tend to identify themselves with the rabid, outspoken element among minorities, who get their inspiration from the Marxist movement. After the softening-up process by the delicate touch of the League, Communist Party propaganda—which champions precisely the same line and uses the same methods, only more violently—takes the "sympathetic" youngster and teaches him to hate opposition or supposed opposition and creates a preferential status for Jews. Presently some of these young men and women have become active in goon squads, underground gangs and Communist-fronts. And here again the status of the Jew is exalted above all others. A Communist can be violently anti-Anglo-Saxon, anti-Italian, anti-Spanish; but he will be crucified if he is anti-Jewish.

Meanwhile, those who become suspicious and wary of propaganda which seems conspicuous for its undue emphasis on the race theme, tend to react defensively. And thus begins the flame—enraging Gentile against Gentile, Jew against Gentile, Negro against Anglo-Saxon—which the Red strategists hope they can fan into a nation-wide conflagration at a time favorable to them.

Whatever the motives of the men steering the Anti-Defamation League, it is apparent that they are ill-advised and that their millions of dollars are tending to soften up our youth for the march of Communism.

The race theme amounts to an attack on the Anglo-Saxon-type freedom, the idealism which has spearheaded the upward drive for freedom for many centuries, benefiting all peoples the world over. It is precisely this Anglo-Saxon idealism which the Communist International most violently hates and must destroy to succeed. This culture springs from the innate temperament of Western peoples—the sturdy individuals who will not be slaves.

In its championing Internationalism, the League again plays into the hands of the Communists. Internationalism is a One World philosophy which insists on giving up sovereignty to a super government, one that must—if it is to accomplish any of the things the Internationalists expect of it—be more powerful than our own government. Our President, then, and our Congress, would be subordinate to the One World administration. In such a government we would be only one nation among many; we would have only one vote among a dozen votes as to what is good for America and American people. All the other nations could regularly day after day

combine to exploit us, to take our wealth and ship it to other countries through a super-UNRRA bureau. We are the "have" nation, of whom there is much jealousy and perhaps some fear. Our millions of free men have taken wealth out of the earth and made it useful. It is America which certain other nations want to whittle down. We would be helpless to check the spoliation. Our individual freedom would disappear like ice in a kettle. The curtain would come down on the age of the Constitution with its Bill of Rights.

But we must remember that certain people would stand to gain—people who control the One World power machine in the government behind the government of which Disraeli and many another political leader have spoken. In all countries, including America, friends of the invisible power group would stand to gain through exploitation. Men would presently have to work where and when so directed, or go without a job. Secret police would soon take care of them if they tried to make trouble.

This is the future the Internationalist champions, however badly he may be deceived about it. No doubt that most of our Internationalists have been sold this bill of goods by clever propagandists who appealed to their Utopian idealism. Some of our most hopelessly idealistic men and women have been the most dangerously taken in by the propagandists. These men and women ordinarily do not realize that behind the entire One World movement is the vast, world-wide "educational program" of the Communist International. You cannot separate Internationalism and Communism: both lead directly to the same thing—absolute dictatorship over the world and enslavement and exploitation of men and machines.

Now back to the Anti-Defamation League. It is safe to say that the majority of the men steering the League are Internationalists. It would be hard to find one who would admit he was a "nationalist." League spokesmen want you to think of a nationalist as something vicious, a Nazi or Fascist. Yet we are all nationalists who love our country and repudiate the totalitarian foreign "isms"—Nazism, Fascism, Communism and Internationalism. The League, as you have seen, attacks men as "anti-Semitic" on the grounds that they are nationalists. This is another self-accusation of the League that it is siding with the pro-Communist movement. Their logic, which says that the nationalist is against all Jews ("anti-Semitic") is an arrogant and false assumption, accusing all Jews of being Internationalists.

A brief summary of the work of the Anti-Defamation League shows that—regardless of its intentions—it is of inestimable aid to the march of Communism, especially among our young people:

1. The League is Internationalist, violently anti-nationalist. It tends to condition the mind of youth for the program of the Communist International, in its various deceptive disguises.
2. The League is a secret-police. Communism always builds its operations through such machines.

3. The League defames all who actively and aggressively oppose Communism. I know of no instance of its defaming and trying to suppress a Communist.

4. The League makes use of pro-Communist writers and speakers.

5. The principal themes of the League's propaganda coincide with the principal themes of Communism. The League's program is a subtle, but continuous and effective undermining of our unity and traditions. The race propaganda lends strongly to divide the "majority" and to pit minorities against the majority. The Communist Party drives this wedge hard, obviously aiming at open warfare at the propitious moment. Also does not the League violate that section of the U. S. Criminal Code which provides a maximum fine of \$5,000 and ten years' imprisonment for conspiring to deprive any American citizen of his Constitutional rights?

6. The League indicates that it has a foreign program. What is this foreign program and by what right does a private, secret organization presume to carry on operations abroad?

7. The League uses techniques identical with those of the Communists in all countries. Character assassination, for instance, to keep down opposition to Internationalism and Communism.

8. The effect of the League's work is to set up Jews in a preferential status—a "chosen people" in this modern age when *all* people have equal rights. The League seeks to bring other minorities under its leadership in a race rivalry fanned by its propaganda. This is entirely parallel to one of the major activities of Communism. Both the League and the Communists champion F E P C and demand a law making anti-Semitism a crime.

Thus the Anti-Defamation League is an atom-powered Communist-front, whether it means to be so used or not.

A better way to prevent anti-Semitism would be, as former Senator Burton K. Wheeler implied, first to quit the exclusive secretive organizing and propagandizing on the race question, and its defaming of American citizens who happen to disagree. Doubtless in a society as in the human body, an organ is healthiest when we are least conscious of its existence. Many of us have Jewish friends with whom we got along normally until the propaganda flood gates were thrown open; now they and we often are self-conscious in our conversation and deals. For this miserable condition you can thank the Anti-Defamation League perhaps as much as the Communist Party.

Short of taking itself apart—which we would be naive to expect—the League should be quickly and thoroughly suppressed by Congress and the Chief Executive.

PART II

THE ADL IN THE WORLD COMMUNIST OFFENSIVE

I DO NOT WISH to condemn the Jewish community. The Anti-Defamation League is but a part of that community. I do not wish to condemn the Anti-Defamation League for activities for which it may not be responsible. I do know, however, that to get a true perspective of the results of the League's activities it is necessary to look at the whole disturbed world. It is necessary to read the Jewish press to see what is being put into the minds of the Jewish people, for whom the B'nai B'rith, the League's parent, claims wide representation in America. It is necessary to estimate how much of that press is frankly Marxist and Zionist and pro-Russian. It is necessary to see what foreign influences attract Jews in America, and what their more radical leaders are trying to do for and to them. Then you can form your own estimate of the activities of the A D L .

Such a survey reveals information of such extreme importance to America that it would be marked "Top Secret" in the files of the Army or the State Department if reported by one of its officials.

No thoughtful American can read the Jewish press today without becoming alarmed. Too many Jewish periodicals are pouring a stream of Pro-Communist, Marxist, pro-Russian sentiment into the Jewish people. I became aware of this shocking fact while on active duty at a counter-intelligence officer in the Army. In that capacity I also was astounded to see that so many of our younger Jews had gone pro-Communist—that so many of our Communists were Jews. These facts may shock conservative American Jews who will pay heed as much as they shock non-Jews. The remark that "all Jews are Communists" is an irresponsible statement. This is the mistake more and more Americans are making today. The rigidly Orthodox Jew is likely to be a loyal American citizen, opposed to Fascism, Communism and Political Zionism, adhering only to religious Zionism, a spiritual concept. Jews who belong to, or agree with, the American Council for Judaism likewise acknowledge only one flag, the Stars and Stripes. They too, oppose being used by radicals in a revolutionary movement which they regard as certain to end in terror and bloodshed for Jew and Gentile alike.

In my own experience in Intelligence I found Jewish officers and soldiers whose Americanism could not be questioned. But I am forced to admit, not without foreboding, that those Jewish officers and enlisted men

who did not show a preference for Communism and who were not fanatically pro-Soviet were few in number. Among Intelligence officers and CIC investigators, it was a common saying—and I know Communist-fronts will denounce me as anti-Semitic and a Fascist for reporting this—that "seventy-five per cent. of the Jews in the army are pro-Communist."

This percentage may not hold true of the old people; but among those in their thirties and younger, I think it conservative.

An energetic young Jewish reporter on a leading American daily, with whom I have conversed many times, admits frankly his pro-Communist sentiments—for which I have tried to shame him. Asked if he knew any Jewish people who were anti-Communist, he said, "Sure. My old man jumps on me all the time, just as you do." Asked if he knew any Jewish young people who were not pro-Communist, he said, "Very few."

My own investigative staff and I in the army reviewed or processed thousands of pro-Communist cases. The percentage of Jews among them was disproportionately high. The "heat" is put on any person, Jew or Gentile, who mentions these facts. An official of the A D L said to a friend of mine, "Why talk about them? We want you not to mention them." I am not talking with the intent to create prejudice. I am reporting facts of the greatest importance to our future security, internal and external.

ATTACK GROWS VICIOUS

The responsibility for this strong Communist trend among the young Jews is squarely on the left-wing leaders in the Jewish community. These people have managed virtually to control the Jewish press—which I urge you to read.

Here are just a few quotations:

Dr. Louis G. Reynolds, in the July 5, 1946, issue of *California Jewish Voice*: "I cannot conceive of any Jew in the role of an enemy of the Soviet Union. To me, such a Jew is an unnatural monstrosity, a travesty on everything that is decent and right."

J. I. Fishbein in *The Sentinel* (sub-titled "Devoted to Unity in Jewish Life"), issue of June 20, 1946 (under caption, "The Editor Views the News"): "The fascist-minded scum and Naziphiles of this country are threatening Russia with everything from atom bombs to 'democratic elections.' . . . We recognize that in this country the 'Hate-Russia' element is also the 'Hate-the-Jews' element. . . . Did you ever hear of any anti-Semites anywhere in the world who were not also anti-Soviet? . . . We recognize our foes. Let us recognize our friends, the Soviet people."

The Jewish Opinion Publishing Company, as long ago as 1937, awarded prizes to six essayists on "How to Combat Anti-Semitism in America." All prize winners expressed sentiments hardly compatible with the American tradition of freedom, some of them attacking the Christian religion as causing anti-Semitism. Judges were George Gordon Battle,

John Haynes Holmes, Everett R. Clinchy, Ludwig Lewishon and Stephen S. Wise. Dr. Clinchy is the present director (non-Jewish) of the National Conference of Christians and Jews. Rabbi Stephen S. Wise is too well known as a leader of the Marxist Zionist movement to need introduction.

Here is a question from one of the prize winners, Joshua Trachtenberg, a Rabbi of Easton, Pennsylvania: "Were this essay entitled '*How to Eradicate Anti-Semitism in America*' it should have to open with the grim admission: *It can't be done! Not short of demolishing the America we live in and building a new one, at any rate.* Even outside Marxist circles it is a commonplace that anti-Semitism . . . *is a disease indigenous to our economic system*, which goads the underprivileged . . . to exact payment of the eternal scapegoat, the Jew, for the iniquities of the system itself . . . Anti-Semitism is a potent buckler in the defensive armor of Capitalism. In the degree that the various secondary factors that make for the victimization of the Jew lose their force, the inchoate rebellion of the masses can be less readily diverted from the real oppressors and *the doom of the Capitalist system draws near.*" This frank anti-Capitalist does add: "Nor is it politic to press an argument which might alienate a great many of those non-Jews who would come to our aid on less controversial ground."

It is the frequency of such sentiments which today makes Americans suspicious of all secretive organized movements. What, they ask, is the Anti-Defamation League up to, and why does it need to spend \$5,000,000 trying to persuade our children and young people to some end it has conceived to be to the best interests of the Jewish community? Why are so many of our own neighbors here in America highly organized and financed and saying the same things that the agents of the Communist Revolution are saying all over the world, while western civilization, the so-called Christian world, is crumbling nation by nation under the onslaught?

More and more intemperate language is used, as one writer stimulates another. Here is a statement, the sentiments of which are prominent in the Jewish press:

'Rabbi Leon Spitz, a sermon quoted in the *American Hebrew* of March 1, 1946: "Perhaps the time has come when roles should be changed. Let Esau whine and wail and protest to the civilized world, and let Jacob raise his hand to fight the good fight. The anti-Semite . . . understands but one language, and he must be dealt with on his own level. The Purim Jews stood up for their lives. (Note: Reference is to the Book of Esther in the Old Testament. "Esau" is used by modern Jews as a code name for the stupid gentile; "Jacob" for the crafty, deceptive Jew.) American Jews, too, must come to grips with our contemporary anti-Semites. *We must fill our jails with anti-Semitic gangsters. We must fill our insane asylums with anti-Semitic lunatics. We must combat every alien Jew-bater. We must harass and prosecute our Jew-baiters to the extreme limits of the laws. We must humble and shame our anti-Semitic hoodlums to such an extent that none will wish or dare to become (their) 'fellow-travelers'.*"

This from a Rabbi to his flock! This in a land which has shown greater tolerance for all races, Jews included, than any in history!

To understand the full import of the above sermon by Rabbi Spitz it is necessary to remember that any man or woman who actively opposes Communism is immediately branded by Communist-fronts as anti-Semitic. If a law can be passed making "anti-Semitism" a crime, the Communists can hail their enemies into court—as in the infamous "Sedition Trial."

Who decides what citizens are "anti-Semitic" gangsters, lunatics and Jew-baiters? Courts recently have assessed libel judgments against self-appointed prosecutors of innocent victims of this fury. Derounian, for instance (alias Carlson), author of *Undercover* and *The Plotters*, has been found guilty on numerous counts of libeling American citizens with this false smear. A federal judge, presiding at one of the suits against Derounian, said he found no evidence of anti-Semitism in the speech, the writings and the activities of the libeled smear victim. Yet 700,000 copies of *Undercover* had been sold, with the assistance of Walter Winchell, spreading false defamation from coast to coast.

The above statement of the rabbi need not necessarily imply that he is himself pro-Communist. He may have been taken in by the fear hysteria spread by Communist leaders, including both Jews and Gentiles. But he is stirring his Jewish flock almost to the point of violent eruption.

I am sure there is no organized Fascist movement in America worth all the scare. Such a movement is not what the Reds are talking about. It is the anti-Communist movement they are fighting and they brand it Fascist—and anti-Semitic. It is the pro-Americanism "reaction" they are fighting. Of all times and places in history when a Jew could settle down to a normal relationship with his Gentile neighbors, the time is now, the place is America. I see signs that the activity of the Communist crusade to brand the opposition as anti-Semitic, coupled with the activity of many secret Gestapos, including the A D L, is setting in motion a wave of anti-Semitism. The burning of a fiery cross where never a Klansman is found, simply to frighten negroes and Jews, the writing of anti-Semitic phrases on a synagogue—these tricks, exposed in several places as done by young Communists to fan race friction, are beginning to take effect.

WHY DO SO MANY JEWS LOVE RUSSIA?

Many a Gentile is completely stumped by this question. He is confused because, knowing the extreme brutality of the Bolsheviks and their successors, sympathizing deeply with the enslaved Russian people, (for whom Victor Kravchenko appeals eloquently to us in his remarkable historic document, *I Chose Freedom*) he cannot understand why so many of his Jewish acquaintances are pro-Soviet. He has a right to ask the question, and he is entitled to an honest answer. We are in a precarious position today, our leaders and publishers afraid to tell the people the real

answer, afraid of the smear or loss of business, because we have blacked it out of the news for thirty years. Our sin is catching up with us. *Many an editor himself now does not know the real secret behind the Iron Curtain.*

Let Louis Levine, national chairman of the Jewish Council of Russian Relief, tell you. Levine paid an extended visit to Russia last summer and came back hysterical in his enthusiasm for the Soviet regime. He reported before an audience provided by, and I believe sponsored by, leading Jewish organizations and publicists of Chicago. The heart of these group gatherings appears to be the A D L. In an article in the November, 1946, issue of *Soviet Russia Today*, Levine (billed as "Outstanding leader of American Jewry") wrote: "Special concern for the Jewish people has characterized the Soviet Union since its birth in the 1917 Revolution. A week after tsarism was overthrown, the infant Socialist government, headed by Lenin, legally abolished national oppression, making it the first country in the world to declare anti-Semitism a crime. . . . Every manifestation of anti-Semitism was fought openly and sternly."

He makes the amazing statement, "Many American Jews did not quite believe that the anti-Semitic scourge of several thousand years could be *wiped out* within one generation and certainly not in a country in which it had been an official part of the state apparatus for many hundred years."

I don't know where in all literature I have read such an appalling statement. Considering that there must have been millions of Russians adjudged anti-Semitic, especially by Communist standards, it does not seem possible that "anti-Semitism" could have been *wiped out* in one generation short of killing and exiling countless hordes of Gentile Russians. Was it, then, the suspicion of anti-Semitism as much as property considerations which caused the Communist regime to destroy 20,000,000 Russians? Levine continues: "And there were some (American Jews) who believed the 'miracle' had taken place, but frankly wondered whether it was accomplished at the cost of a loss of a distinct Jewish life, of a decline in religious observances and of a deterioration of Jewish culture."

Levine then describes expansively his 6,000-mile trip inside the Soviet Union, going wherever and whenever he pleased without surveillance—a rare concession, made not even to our ambassadors. And everywhere, he says, he encountered a Jewish culture. He tells that "more than in any other country in the world, Jewish literature, theater, poetry is considered *basic* to a full cultural life. I met many non-Jews who had read *Sholem Aleichem* in the original Yiddish. Many non-Jews, including the Ukrainian leader, Dmitri Manuilsky, were brought up in Jewish surroundings, and retain great interest and pride in their background." (Manuilsky is often mentioned as a possible successor to Stalin.) He says that the "center of Jewish life in the Soviet Union is the extremely active and influential Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee. . . . *When I spoke to government officials about the Jewish Council or the Soviet Jews, I was generally asked, 'What*

does Mikeboels (head of the Committee) think about it' or I was told that 'If it is OK with the Committee, it is OK with us.'"

In my Intelligence research I had learned from documents and authentic excerpts from documents gathered from numerous sources, that the Russian Communist government was still under powerful Jewish influence; also Jewish soldiers from Russia and Poland in the U. S. Army told me something of this power. (I don't think they themselves realized its magnitude.) But here it is again, from one who ought to know.

Levine wrote of meeting world-famous Jewish surgeons, a Jewish general, and many other high government officials of Jewish origin. "It was apparent—and even the sketchiest of observations would have disclosed it—that the Soviet Jews participate fully and freely on every level of government activity."

He went much stronger than that in Chicago, (on the 12th floor at 32 West Randolph Street) on the night of October 30, 1946, saying that many of the high ranking government officials were Jewish, and explaining one of the devices which, I believe, has kept the Russian people themselves much in the dark as to the extent of Jewish control over them: *Many of the Jewish officials "did not look Jewish, but they spoke to me privately in Hebrew or Yiddish."*

He implied what we find confirmed from other sources, that the "miracle" by which all anti-Semitism had been "wiped out" in Russia in one generation was the weapon of Liquidation or exile to Siberia. "One does not *dare* be anti-Semitic in Russia," he wrote.

This undoubtedly is the real secret behind the iron curtain—the power of the highly organized, utterly ruthless Marxist wing of Russian Jewry. It terrorized anti-Communist Jews, the same as anti-Communist Gentiles, for a time: but Levine, in the article previously quoted, relates how they have opened their synagogues again and the Jewish people again enjoy complete religious freedom. Now that the Christian church has been virtually exterminated, it may be the intent of the Russian government to permit religious freedom. How can we know for sure?

THE PRIVILEGED CLASS

This reminds me of Kravchenko's pitiful story of the complete and utter degradation of the Russian family, the closing of their Christian churches, the killing and deporting of the kulaks—the farmers. Nowhere in all the articles and reports I have read of the Russian scene have I found any indication that the non-Jewish people enjoyed or now enjoy any such privileges as Levine reports for the Jewish people there. Kravchenko writes a pitiful chapter in *I Chose Freedom* on seeing the *privileged* persons evacuated in Packard automobiles with their families or mistresses as the Hitler armies approached, and of how other people were forced at bayonet point to remain behind, to let the Nazi armies pass and then

harass them with underground activities at great personal risk. He describes the bitterness as intense.

Levine wrote, "And at the outset of the war, as we all know, Jews were among the first evacuated from the western regions threatened by the Hitlerite invaders, and shipped to safety east of the Urals." Thus Levine tells us the privileged persons saved from the Nazis were Jewish. He said 2,000,000 of them were thus saved. (Were the privileged class of whom Kravchenko wrote among these?)

Another observer writes of seeing Jews vacationing in luxury at resorts on the Black Sea, while Gentiles starved in Russian mills. This was just before the War.

Is it any wonder that Jews the world over are interested in the Soviet Union? Even if we had no other documentary evidence to substantiate Levine's report—even if he were manufacturing considerable of it to swing American Jewry into the Marxist movement—their inclination in that direction would be understandable—except those who were sickened at the mass killings.

W. L. White, in his new book, *Report on the Poles*, (condensed in the December, 1946, issue of *Reader's Digest*) estimates that only about 50 per cent. of the Polish Communist government are Jewish. An official representative of that government has told me that he believes White's estimate "conservative."

Sokolsky reports that anti-Semitism is raging in Poland worse than anywhere else at any time in history. The Poles understand Communism.

Reports on Roumania and Hungary indicate great bitterness among the Christians, the same as in Poland, because of their fathomless fear of the radical Jewish element in their government.

White states that many Jews in Poland, before Russia took over, opposed the march of Communism. He says that the Polish Communist Party was dominated by Jews, who comprised about 70 per cent. of the total Party membership; but the Party itself was small. I have reputable Jewish magazines and other publications issued during the war stating that many a Catholic and Protestant in Poland, including some Catholic priests, risked their lives to hide Jews from the Nazis. It may be there was much firm friendship and not very widespread Communism among the Polish peoples, Jews and Gentiles, before Russia took over. In the army I found very little pro-Communist sentiment among our Gentile Poles; I did find considerable among the Jewish Poles.

I have statements from trusted sources that many of the richest Jews in the Baltic states turned Communist overnight when the Red army came in. But this is not proof that they would have been Communist had the Baltics remained "free." They had little choice; embracing Communism for them was easy. Resistance meant punishment, while as converts they would expect favored treatment at the hands of the Russian government.

Eye witnesses say they immediately began helping the Reds liquidate Christians.

Our own government and all governments understood that it was the Bolshevik element among the Jewish people who took over Russia in the October Revolution of 1917. A report by our own American Intelligence Service in 1919, never released in America but published in Paris (*Documentation Catholique*, issue of March 6, 1920), listed the names of the 25 leading Bolshevik officials, 24 of whom were shown as Jewish. The 25th, Lenin, married to a Jewess. (Some authorities insist that Lenin was half Jewish.) Heading the list of the 24 was, of course, L. Trotsky (Bronstein of New York).

Broadly, this report was confirmed in a British White Paper "*Presented to Parliament by Command of His Majesty, April, 1919*," now a rare document, a photographed copy of which I have in safe keeping. This document was suppressed almost immediately after it was presented to Parliament, and when it reappeared the entire reference to the Jewish influence had been deleted. I will presently have a copy of the censored edition also. Originals are in safekeeping in several English and Irish university libraries.

The *Jewish Transcript* of Seattle, Washington, of May 29, 1936, said: "The Communists are Jewish controlled."

Winston Churchill, in a speech on the floor of Parliament in 1919, reviewing the Revolution, also brought out the fact of Jewish Bolshevik domination. "*The Last Days of the Romanoffs*," by Robert Wilton, an Englishman who served as an officer in the Russian army and was in an excellent position to observe; official reports made to President Woodrow Wilson and to the British government, and many reports by individuals, substantiate the fact that the power was seized by Jewish elements of the Bolshevik Party in the October Revolution of 1917. The money which went from New York City to finance the Revolution was raised and sent by American Jewish bankers, some of them not likely Bolsheviks themselves but rather anxious for revenge against a Czarist government which had committed pogroms against the Jews of Russia. This money, nevertheless, was placed in the hands of the Jewish element of the Bolshevik Communist Party in Russia—not in the hands of Gentile Bolsheviks—and it made possible the buying of arms and ammunition, the printing and distribution of seditious revolutionary literature among soldiers of the Russian armies, the feeding and financing of Communist agents, the setting up of governmental machinery. It was supplemented, to be sure, by funds stolen and seized in Russia.

THEY CAPTURED THE NKVD

Douglas Reed, in his *Insanity Fair*, published in 1938 in England, told of his official visit to Moscow accompanying Anthony Eden, and of finding the entire press censorship service in the hands of Jews. Other observers confirm his statements.

The terror, however, was more directly due, I think, to the fact that

much of the NKVD was staffed by Bolshevik Jews—cruel to all religious peoples, anti-Communist Jews and Gentiles alike, but particularly bent on annihilation of Christians. The GPU (NKVD) and its successors have been virtually the government since the Revolution. This terroristic secret police throws fear into every man in Russia except possibly Stalin. It is now in command of an estimated 20,000,000 slaves in work and prison camps, and in recent years has developed all Russian heavy industry with slave labor. It apparently no longer waits for workers to commit offenses or even to be suspected of sabotage or anti-Semitism, but deliberately impresses workmen by the million. It is at last the full realization of Marx's dream of complete enslavement of "enemy" peoples.

Henry Jagoda (or Yagoda), "merciless head of the NKVD," as Kravchenko described him, was a Jew. Succeeding Jagoda, after he himself was liquidated in 1937 for reasons we may never know—but most likely jealousy—Yezhov took over. He too was Jewish. The head of this machine for annihilation would have to be a prime hater of Christians and of all anti-Communists, and above all *of anyone accused of being anti-Semitic*.

Beria, last head of the NKVD before its recent division into domestic and foreign branches of secret police, is reportedly an Armenian from Georgia; but his picture shows such pronounced Jewish features as to throw considerable doubt on his origin. The story that he is an Armenian or a Georgian may be a cover.

All through the great liquidation of the officers of the Red army, of the Old Bolsheviks (including the original, largely Jewish Politburo) and hundreds of thousands of others in Stalin's domain in the middle and late 1930's, we were mystified as to the reason for the purge. We have been told repeatedly that the accusations were false. Perhaps an anti-Semitic plot was suspected—though this reason would not answer for all cases, because many prominent Jews were liquidated too.

In the trials and brutal "investigations" as described by many a writer, seldom was it possible even for the accused to discover any cause for his persecution. Most often the charge was sabotage. It is clear, on reflection, that if the victim was suspected of being anti-Semitic, the NKVD could not afford to say so, because millions of liquidations on charges of anti-Semitism would breed more anti-Semitism. This is the only plausible explanation I have found. Our government could doubtless enlighten us on these facts of history. It is to its eternal discredit that it has not seen fit to do so; and for concealing a truth of such significance we may all suffer.

Some Jews have repudiated Marxism. The correspondent who got the first interview with Stalin, a second writer who was married to a lady assistant commissar, and a third who was pro-Communist and in Moscow during the Revolution, today are in the battle against Communism.

Here is what Dr. Oscar Levy wrote in 1920 in a letter used as the preface to a book, *The World Significance of the Russian Revolution*, by G. Pitt Rivers:

"Jewish elements provide the driving force for both Communism and Capitalism for the material as well as the spiritual ruin of this world . . . to the intense idealism of the Jew." He pointed out, however, that not all Jews are financiers, Zionists or Bolsheviks. He continued, "We who have promised to lead you to a new Heaven, we have finally succeeded in landing you in a new Hell. . . . I look at this world and I shudder all the more as I know the spiritual authors of all this ghastliness. . . . But its authors themselves are unconscious in this as in all they are doing." I do not have a copy of this book but believe it still available in big libraries.

I am sure that if the average idealistic young American of any race who has become inoculated with the hate hypnosis, and therefore has become a captive and tool of these rulers of the Marxist world, could open his eyes and accept the facts he would abandon Communism. If he could look back into undeniable source books of facts and see that Communism from the very outset was invented as a vehicle to sell to gullible peoples, wrapped up in a package to appeal to both Jew and Gentile, for the one purpose of elevating the Marxist radicals to world power over their fellow men—nobody but the power seekers themselves would be Marxists.

But the pitiable, misled petty Communist soon becomes so intense in his hatred of all anti-Communists and is so thoroughly grounded and goaded, he rejects historical facts as propaganda.

WHAT IS COMMUNISM?

In the matter of the history of Marxism, all of us can learn a valuable lesson if we will. We should remember the story: Jews were at the head of the revolutionary groups leading up to and during the Communist Revolution of Germany of 1848. They were too conspicuous. The revolution largely failed—for this and other reasons. And the people of Germany and Austria never forgot or forgave the Jewish people; they held all Jews responsible for Communism. They seemed to believe that all Jews hated Christianity. *Anti-Semitism never died in those countries thereafter. This fact should make American Jews repudiate the radicals who are trying to herd them into the Red camp.*

No movement in history has been so successfully deceptive, so complete a fraud as Marxism. Americans do not understand it. I hear it said that not fifty of us really do understand it in its entirety. Marxist propaganda agents are well schooled and well financed; they create confusion; they deny all facts; and the average person will not think the movement through, even when equipped with a mass of factual information.

The principal reason for this failure to understand the core of the movement is that we look at the means rather than the end. We are thinking of business instead of people. We think and speak of Communism as state ownership of all capital wealth. That is only a device which helps the planners accomplish their purpose. Destroy private ownership of property and every man, woman and child is at the mercy of the State by next meal time. The purpose for which Communism was perfected by Karl Marx and his associates, largely radical Jews, was *to place world political power in their hands*—to give people power over other people. If this sounds ridiculous, I agree with you; but I must remind you that Napoleon and Caesar and Alexander and Hitler and Stalin all have dreamed of world power—to the misery of mankind.

There is one history-making difference in the Marxist reach for world power and that of a Caesar. The men on horseback were *individuals* grasping for power. Marxism (or Communism) is a *program*. It did not die with the power seekers who carried it to perfection. *It was perfected by a small group of haters and it is the doctrine of hate.* The greatest hater of all time was Marx. He was an atheist. He hated all religion, but above all, he hated Christianity. He even hated Judaism (the religion) as the parent of Christianity.

He and his circle believed they could elevate themselves to power principally by spreading hatred, and their main theme song, whispered among Jews ever since by Red agents, was to fan Jewish hatred of Christianity, and promise Jews that through Marxism they would triumph over their Biblical "enemies," the Gentiles. *From its outset, the movement was basically Marxism versus Christianity.*

The Marx program was broader than may appear in the brief statement above. It would take a shelf of books to bring all its facets into focus. It offers the most beautiful idealism, such as world peace, but does not mention that the price of a Communist peace is slavery. It is a case of the spider and the fly. Marx and his radicals thought they could rouse to class hatred, race hatred, religious hatred, against the existing order, enough workers and minority groups in one country to seize power, especially to seize all industry and productive wealth—not for themselves, mind you, but for the little clique of Marxist conspirators, who made them extravagant promises.

Meanwhile his agents would be organizing secret police and other suppressive squads and propaganda machines and sabotage cells in other countries, especially industrial countries. They would organize the workers into what they termed trade unions, under strict discipline by dictatorial leaders. Once a victory in one country, that nation's men and machines would be hurled at other countries in combined operations with Fifth Columns. Soon the world would be a Marx apple.

The plan put Marx and his radical Jewish circle in command at the outset of the movement, and everywhere Communism has traveled, in Russia, in Poland, in the Baltics, the Balkans, France, Italy, England, the United States, Central and South America, Africa, and now into Japan, it has sought to deify the Jew.

Marx published his pamphlet, "Communist Manifesto," in 1848. He thought his crowd would win that year in Germany; but the Communist revolution there virtually failed and he fled to England, where, abusing privileges of freedom, he plotted for many years to destroy freedom.

Next year will end a century of Marxist agitation, labor union organizing for political power and building of Fifth Columns. Thirty years ago the Marxist crowd succeeded in seizing one potentially great military power. They can now hurl that against others. We shall soon see whether Communism will wipe out the age of freedom or shall be turned back.

Stalin is a Georgian, though some of our Jews have been made to believe him Jewish. He is, however, married to the sister of Kaganovich, Jewish commissar of heavy industry. Kaganovich long was considered Stalin's closest friend and second in influence in all the Russias. He still is powerful. Molotov's wife is Jewish, the sister of Samuel Carp of Bridgeport, Conn. Manuilsky, as Levine told us, was raised Jewish. Manuilsky is a power in the Soviet Union, often mentioned as a possible successor to Stalin. He is head of the government of the Ukraine and *head of the Communist International*.

Of Stalin, Louis Levine had this to say in his article in "Soviet Russia Today":

"The Jewish people are unanimous in their love for Stalin. They regard him as the greatest friend of the Jewish people. They attribute to his understanding of national minorities and to his leadership *the new, exalted status of the Soviet Jews.*" (Emphasis is mine.)

Levine also tells us that Stalin's daughter is to marry a Jew. "I need not add that the Soviet Jews greeted with joy the news that Stalin and the father of his prospective Jewish son-in-law drank 'lachaim' together in the Kremlin!"

Any supposition that Jews are fleeing from official Russian persecution should be quieted once and for all time by that single statement.

(The New York-Moscow Red Axis is moving Jews out of Eastern Europe into Palestine to increase the Communist-Zionist underground there, tightening the pressure on Britain.)

Elsewhere in the same article Levine said, "It is important to point out that the Soviet Jews, as the entire population, are one with the Soviet government. There is absolutely no distinction between themselves and the government, as some have tried to make out."

"All believed that the final solution to the Jewish problem lies in the victory of full *democracy* throughout the world, in the struggle for the

complete eradication of fascism whenever it threatens or wherever it exists." To understand this statement you must use the word *democracy* as Levine and the Soviet Communists use it: meaning Communism; and you must translate the word *fascism* as meaning simply all forces opposed to Communism. This makes the statement mean, clearly, that the Jewish people of Russia told Levine *the solution to the Jewish problem throughout the world lies in the world wide victory for Communism.*

Rev. Denis Fahey of the Holy Ghost Missionary College, Dublin, Ireland, widely known historian, in his well documented booklet, "*The Rulers of Russia*," printed in 1940, reproduces excerpts from a number of rare documents, naming the leaders of Russia at various periods since the Revolution, contending that there has been no diminution of Jewish power in the government. Some of the lists of leaders can be cross-checked by other documents, or methods. For instance, one document shows that fourteen out of seventeen Soviet ambassadors to foreign capitals in 1935-1936 were Jewish, two of the other three with Jewish staffs. Maisky, ambassador to Great Britain, headed the list. His real name is given in the International Who's Who for 1943-1944 as I. M. Layakhovetsky. I am sure it would not be difficult to verify the racial origin of all on this list, since each was well known in the country where he was stationed.

As previously stated, it is of the greatest importance to the successful radical Jews to keep the Gentile world, on both sides of the Iron Curtain, in the dark about their power.

My information is lamentably limited, but I have evidence of the use of the following devices to conceal their strength:

1. Influence by Jewish organizations (The Jewish Anti-Fascist Committee, for example, mentioned by Levine, operating with greater influence, no doubt, than the Political Action Committee behind the New Deal).

2. Promotion to important posts of Russians married to Jews.

3. Changing of names of Jewish officials.

4. Placing in high position Jews who "do not look Jewish."

5. The "hidden director" device whereby one who appears to be only a clerk or petty aide, occupying an inconspicuous office, is the real director of a bureau or commission (as, for instance, the Soviet Purchasing Commission to the United States at one time during the war. Kravchenko does not give any reason for the invention of this device, but he states that it is frequently used in the Soviet Union. Russia uses this device in directing the Communist Party of the U.S.A. Gerhardt Eisler, a German Jew, reportedly has been the invisible director—known to only the top Communists and therefore deceiving all the little Communist tools.)

6. Placing responsibility on men who were raised Jewish—as in the case of Manuilsky.

Kravchenko (*I Chose Freedom*) recorded that Mekhlis, the political commissar over all the Red armies, had to be removed because he was

Jewish and the Nazi propaganda machine was striking telling blows at the morale of the Russian soldiers by capitalizing on this fact.

The position of political director over all the Red armies is one of the most powerful positions in the Soviet government.

To summarize briefly the power of Jews in Soviet Russia . . . such power no longer is absolute, as it once was, in the sense of holding all the top flight positions. But the entire Communist regime is theirs. It is their mental offspring. The thinking of Stalin, Molotov and all Communists the world over is so conditioned as to exalt the Jew over the Gentile. If Stalin ordered the destruction of millions of hardy kulak land owners, 99 per cent of them Gentiles, and if he has destroyed millions of Christians, he is doing the bidding of Karl Marx and his heirs. The Communist administration, utterly unlike the non-conspiratorial Russian people, holds its strength largely from the mass of Jewish converts. Without them the Marxist regime would simply become another dictatorship, losing its racial ideology and its Internationalism. Communism has been a movement of the left wing of Jewry from its birth; it still is their instrument and their tool, in Russia as elsewhere.

Perhaps the secret is not too well kept. There are conflicting reports. Whereas Levine says there is no such thing as anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union, Rabbi Benjamin Schultz, in the *Detroit Times*, August 4, 1946, declared that racial feeling was running high there. He says that those Polish Jews who cherish democracy fear the slave camps of Siberia; they will not go to Russia. Perhaps they sense the probability of a counter-revolution in the land of the Soviets. Little can be known, however, by asking what the Polish Jews want, because they today are mercilessly herded and propagandized and torn by Jewish organizations in the United States, England and Palestine, and Moscow.

If anti-Semitism is rising again, after 20,000,000 Russians have been killed or shipped off to slave labor camps (Kravchenko's estimate)—the futility of the Revolution should speak eloquently to all peoples, including Jews, outside the blackout curtain.

The peoples of Eastern Europe all along have been better informed than we on the racial complexion of Communism. That's one reason why they have resisted it so desperately. They knew it would completely devastate their civilization. Spokesmen of several of these countries, men of influence and integrity, from Poland and the Baltics, have told me that their people, living against the Iron Curtain, were able to "see through holes in the Curtain" and understood what was going on inside Russia. The people of Hungary have not forgotten that it was the Jewish terrorist, Bela Kun, Communist revolutionary leader, who right after the first World War wrote his name across Eastern Europe in the blood of hundreds of thousands of Christians. Our diplomatic staffs in Finland, Poland, the Baltic states and Roumania likewise must have understood the racial theme. An official of the Swiss government has told me how much better informed are his people than we Americans on the Communist scourge.

There are several reasons why we have so little understood the basic principle behind the Red terror. Originally it was kindness on the part of our editors which deleted any reference to the fact that the conspirators in Russia were largely Jewish; it was kindness toward our own Jewish community. Who could foresee that such kindness would be so vastly taken advantage of by the Jewish wing of world Communism, propagandizing here among us to provide special immunities for the Jew in preparation for Jewish domination of the Revolution?

When, years ago, the Anti-Defamation League began working on our editors, including the wire services, it was only natural for the editors to yield as a matter of tolerance. As far back as the middle 1930s the League was boasting, in confidential communications not meant for Gentile readers, that it had been successful in "securing cooperation of the Associated Press in eliminating the word 'Jew' in connection with one accused of crime." (Photographic copy in my possession.) Under this agreement the Jewish origin of Louie (Lepke) Buchalter, genius behind Murder, Inc., was seldom if ever seen in the daily press. Under this agreement the fact that nearly all the conspirators behind the Red throne in Moscow were Jewish rarely has been seen in print here in America.

We would all be happy to forget these ugly facts; but because of the manner in which Communist agents have been able to impose on tolerance, it is now of the greatest importance that we understand the true nature of the Communist organization and the true purpose of the movement.

One other condition has contributed widely to our ignorance of the racial involvement in the Soviet regime: the fact that since the first world war we have received most of our "news" from Northeastern Europe—along the path of Moscow—from correspondents who were almost universally pro-Communist Jews. They were not Americans. Few of them ever saw America. And seldom was there an *American* correspondent on the scene to verify or correct or deny the nature of the dispatches. The local correspondents sold their dispatches, often fabricated or colored, to London and New York for good pounds and dollars; while in Moscow proper only pro-Communist dispatches were permitted.

Today, after this long blackout of truth and against the present power of intimidation of the Anti-Defamation League, it is difficult indeed to get the full facts before the American public. The writer or Intelligence officer who reports them immediately becomes the target of the smear fury. He is discredited so thoroughly that his neighbors may refuse to believe him.

THE FREIHEIT PLAN

The glimpse behind the Soviet blackout curtain does not complete the scene of activities and plans of the ambitious, ruthless left-wing of the Jewish world community. Not long ago I came into possession of some pamphlets issued by a large, influential Marxist-Jewish group in America, which tie up Communism with the Jewish people and with Political Zionism, ("Political" as contrasted with "Religious Zionism," the latter purely

a spiritual concept), in a plan for world-wide power. Before the activities of all propagandizing organization and defaming secret police here at home can be fully understood, it is important to understand this world political plan. Communism itself is a world political plan, but the pamphlets bring out rather clearly the belief on the part of the Jewish Marxists that it is they who will dominate the Communist International (their man, Manuilsky, is now its head): and they tempt the rank and file Jew by picturing his race as destined to triumph, assuring him special privilege. They have transferred the "chosen people" theme of the Old Testament from the sphere of religion to the sphere of temporal power. They even proselyte among Jewish religious groups by promising that while all religion is ostensibly to be destroyed by Communism, in fact, the "secret, solitary worship of the Jew", as perfected in slavery 2500 years ago, will survive the time of religious suppression and eventually, after all other religion is killed, Judaism will flourish "without opposition."

Much of this program is contained in a pamphlet entitled, "*The Jewish People Face the Postwar World*." The pamphlet was published by the Morning Freiheit Association, 35 E. 12th St., New York 3, N. Y., and sub-titled "Report to the first yearly conference of the national board of directors of the Morning Freiheit Association, Nov. 10 and 11, 1945." The Morning Freiheit Association publishes *The Morning Freiheit*, an *openly Communistic daily in the Yiddish language*, for which it claims a circulation of approximately 70,000, and reputedly is read by about 300,000 persons. Its readers are principally in New York, but the paper circulates nationally and has distribution offices, supported by branch or affiliated "associations," in several cities.

The first page of the pamphlet—the official report of this large, powerful association—makes its pro-Soviet sentiments clear. Speaking of the "imperialist reaction of American finance capital" of this postwar period, it says: "This imperialist reaction attacks and combats the *democratic* forces of all countries, particularly the most consistent representative of the people's *democracy*—the Soviet Union."

I have italicized the words *democratic* and *democracy* because to understand this pamphlet, like all Communist dialectic of today, you must use the words in the sense in which Moscow uses them: simply substitute the word *Communism* for *People's democracy* or *democracy*. Likewise, *Fascist* and *anti-Semitic* in this pamphlet may generally be translated by substituting the word *capitalist* or *anti-Communist*. *Imperialist reaction* refers to the whole upsurge of the spirit of individual freedom and enterprise.

The pamphlet thoroughly aligns itself with the Marxist movement here at home. "Imperialist reaction has begun an offensive against the masses of the American people themselves, against their *economic security* (substitute *government paternalism*) and *democratic rights*, and especially against the American *working class* and the labor movement."

This is Karl Marx himself talking—attacking the enterprise, property-owning system, championing the Soviet system (wherein the state itself, run by Communists, becomes the exploiter of man's labor).

The author, Alexander Bittelman—a member of the executive committee of the Communist Party, USA—sounds the alarm of rising anti-Semitism, abuses the "new Dies' committee and its Red-baiting." He then warns of the danger that in the United Nations there "will emerge a world coalition under American imperialist reaction . . . for its drive toward world domination." He then outlines the Association's plan for the Jewish people, to establish what appears to be world power to defeat the "American imperialist . . . world domination" above mentioned.

Before going any further with this article, let me remind you that this Freiheit thing is not my plan; that in telling you about it and warning you against it I am not attacking Jews. I am merely urging all of us to defend ourselves against such bold revolutionary activities as Communists are planning. Does this brand me as anti-Semitic? The Anti-Defamation League will say so, I have no doubt—though at the dubious honor of siding with the Reds.

THE WORLD REVOLUTION

Outlining the plan for "the Jewish people," first for America, the Association's report says to tightly organize "the forces of the growing labor-democratic-anti-fascist coalition," to "compel the Truman Administration, by criticism and sustained *political struggle*, to carry through in life a policy of *democracy*, economic security and world peace," to force the pro-Capitalist elements among the American Jews to fall into line by conducting "a systematic struggle against the reactionary elements—in the American Jewish Committee and in the Jewish Labor Committee—which are *obstructing* the struggle for Jewish rights," and *to join hands with "forces of similar coalitions in all parts of the world and in the world as a whole, in which the new 'World Federation of Trade Unions' is destined to play a decisive role. And the ultimate victory belongs precisely to these forces."*

This is a remarkably plain statement, condensed from these pages of the pamphlet. *This is the World Revolution*. This is the Moscow plan, as explained to American Jewish Communists. On page 5 the report points out that "The American Jewish Congress, with its divisions and affiliates, can become a vital and decisive force in the general labor-democratic-anti-fascist coalition, for the benefit of the American people in general and of the American Jews in particular"—if it rids itself of "reactionary elements."

On page 7 the report endorses the action of the American Communist Party in condemning Earl Browder because he gave up Revolution in favour of "revisionism".

The domestic struggle unfolds in a vitally important detail on page 8: "The *democratic* Jewish forces will have to bring forth especially the fight against anti-Semitism, the *fight for identical legislation in each state to declare and punish anti-Semitism as a criminal offense."*

On the same page the report speaks of a "*Black Book*" of "*indictment by the Jewish people against fascism*"—"which is now being prepared

jointly, by the Jews of all countries." The names going into this book are of men marked for liquidation.

In subsequent pages the report attacks (with exceptions) assimilation, or intermarriage of Jews with Gentiles, attacks "nationalism" (or loyalty to country, as contrasted with loyalty to a world order), and insists on a separate Jewish life in America "which is *organically* bound up with the further building of the American nation as a whole together with all *progressive* forces of the American peoples." Emphasis is on the word organic, which is used in several places in the report. This apparently refers to the cellular organization of the Communist Party by which one or two persons can control a large number. It lends itself readily to the uses of persons who do not wish to expose their identity or racial origin unnecessarily.

On page 16 we are shocked by the statement that . . . "*Jewish life in America can be built only in both languages—Yiddish and English, and for this reason we have formulated as one of our major tasks the unification of the Yiddish-speaking and English-speaking Jews in one common Jewish anti-fascist unity. We have begun this work in our own Association and in our organ, the Morning Freiheit.*" Substitute the word "pro-Communist" or "Communist" for the word "anti-fascist." and you see a picture of the Jewish Communist movement regimenting its people to further and further separate themselves from Gentile America (except Gentile Communist tools) by learning a language understood only by Jews.

Louis Levine tells us they have succeeded in making Yiddish the language of the court, so to speak, in Russia; only the educated, largely Jewish people speak it there. Now we see the plan for the new court language and super-race in America, if the designs of the Morning Freiheit Association succeed.

A very special plan for Poland (p. 22) calls for "a free, democratic and strong Poland"—but it means, if you understand the dialectic, *free* from capitalist anti-Communist forces. "The Jews need this kind of Poland. . . . In addition we have a national duty of *squaring our accounts* with fascism and anti-Semitism in the matter of Poland." Published in December, 1945, this statement foretold the fate of millions of Poles, since Poland is 98 per cent Christian. This month (January, 1947) has witnessed the "free, democratic election," and now the curtain goes down on pitied Poland.

The Palestine question is understood by very few, if any, Gentiles. It is confused by the fact that several Jewish groups want Palestine under different types of concessions or political conditions—and by the fact that a small, wise group of American Jews don't want to be involved at all in the Palestine or other political issue peculiar to Jews.

The Freiheit Association report makes unmistakably clear what the *Communist* wing of Jewry all over the world wants in Palestine. It is not simply a matter of relief for Jewish refugees, "not a matter of philan-

thropy, nor is it just an expression of human kindness. . . . It is a sacred national duty, the duty of helping to uproot *fascism* and *anti-Semitism* (i.e., anti-Communism) and to build a free and secure Jewish life." Thus Palestine is to be developed in accordance with the "anti-fascist" or Communist, plan.

The Association does not want Palestine just as a homeland, an exclusively Jewish nation where Jews can live apart from other races. "The specific characteristic of the Jewish people, which is not a single nation, consists precisely in this, that out of it are growing two nationalities, one in Biro-Bidjan, the other in Palestine, and that these two growing Jewish nations are surrounded, so to speak, by Jewish settlements and communities in *all parts of the world*. *This is how the Jewish people developed historically, and this is how we must continue the struggle for the culture and well-being of the Jewish people.*"

Thus, the report insists that its people think not ever of having a separate country of their own, but rather of living always among other peoples. But throughout the pamphlet the *world-wide unity of all Jews of all nations* is demanded—to be had by force, if necessary—in the "coalition" which will exercise power over the principal governments of the world.

In Palestine the Jews must not accept a separate state, according to this Communist voice; rather they must have "a Jewish national homeland in a *free and democratic* (Communist) Palestine, *in collaboration with the Arabs.*" They want an independent Palestine, but they want it complete with Arabs. There are forty million Arabs in surrounding states. The Freiheit Jews believe they can better accomplish their ends by propagandizing and regimenting these peoples to their will; and their vehicle—as they themselves claim—is Communism. Extremely confident, gambling with the future of their own people, win all or lose all, these world conspirators doubtless believe they can convert all the Moslem world to Communism—under their leadership, of course, if they are the missionaries—and thus add another vast empire to the Marxist world. *They frankly state that the Soviet Union will be their pattern for "complete and final solution of the Jewish question."*

Another pamphlet, "*Crisis in Palestine,*" by Moses Miller, former president of the Communist Jewish People's Committee, parallels the Freiheit plan. Especially it calls for ousting of British and American "imperialism" in Palestine and turning Palestine, as an independent Arab-and-Jewish state, *to the United Nations—because the Soviet Union, the only country which has openly and forthrightly condemned the terror in Palestine,*" would then have a major voice in ruling Palestine. (The terror referred to does not mean the terrorism of the Jewish underground, which these pamphlets heroize in the boldest spirit of nationalism; it is rather an accusation that the British law enforcement agencies are terrorists and Nazis.)

TO COMMUNIZE THE MOSLEM WORLD?

As to the intent of the Jewish Communists to Communize the Arabs of Palestine, Miller speaks of "a consistent and persistent campaign for the unity of the Arab and Jewish peoples." (p. 31). In this pamphlet, as in the Freiheit plan and in all Communist literature and the Red press, it is clear that the principal weapon to be used is hatred—spreading hatred of the English and the Americans among the Arabs. Perhaps this would indicate a course for us to follow in the matter of keeping our nose out of other people's business.

The report adopted by the vastly powerful Morning Freiheit Association envisions a world power machine which would dominate and subordinate this nation. It would create a special privileged race replenished from generation to generation in Palestine and Biro-Bidjan, where Jewish culture would be rigidly cherished; and it scorns the government and the social and economic systems of the United States. All who subscribe to the Freiheit plan are guilty of disloyalty to this country by any reasonable standards of Military Intelligence—and we might be alarmed if we could see a list of famous people who may privately favor the plan as indicated by occasional statements in their advice to their fellow Jews, or their subrosa association with Red fronts.

Dr. David Goldstein, Jewish Catholic, stated Feb. 2, 1947, that Palestine's Jews are ruled by "socialists." Other pro-American Jews insist that Communism and Zionism now are a "double-headed drive" for world-wide Jewish political power.

The intimidation of Jewish people by Zionists and Communists to force submission to the plan was given recently by a prominent Canadian *Orthodox* Jew. Dr. I. M. Rabinowitch, as the reason so few loyal Jews were speaking up against the disgrace of disloyalty to the Crown. Explaining that Religious Zionism was only a spiritual concept and repudiating Political Zionism, and saying that the strictly Orthodox Jew wanted it known that he was loyal to his country and to no other country, Dr. Rabinowitch, in an address before the Canadian Club of Montreal, carried in full in the December, 1946, issue of *Destiny*, said the silence "may be ascribed to three reasons: confusion, intimidation and disgust. The confusion is not difficult to understand. *Intimidation, I refused to believe for some time. I now know it to be a fact. In Palestine . . . there is now the Hagana movement which reminds one of Hitler's youth Movement. . . . Outside of Palestine the intimidation is not so complete, but it is by no means negligible.*"

We have seen the plan. We have seen that there are "reactionary elements" in American Jewry who have not been "sold" or coerced to abandon Americanism and join the selfish movement. We have seen that many of the Orthodox Jews are terrorized in soul if not in body at the spectacle unfolding before their eyes.

But in the first paragraph of this month's (January, 1947) issue of *The National Jewish Monthly*, the official publication of the B'nai B'rith, we learn that support for the United Jewish Appeal, largely for Palestine,

is such that *a goal of \$215,000,000 has been set for contributions for the year 1947, \$170,000,000 of this to be raised in the United States, the balance in other Western Hemisphere nations.* I repeat: Two Hundred Fifteen Million dollars.

Yet this vast sum indicates "less the extent of Jewish need than the growing awareness of that need."

Is this just for relief? If so, we will all contribute. But the picture is so confused, so appalling we do not understand it. Why are the American people not better informed on the great movements stalking the world today? Why has our government allowed conspiracies to so confuse us that often we cannot choose intelligently? Given proper information we might understand whether to join in with the United Jewish Appeal or to insist that such vast sums going out of our country into the hands of other people be handled exclusively by a government relief committee composed of men whose philosophy and political connections are well known.

The Associated Press has just published a breakdown of the \$170,000,000 to be raised in the U. S. Quoting Henry Morgenthau, Jr., one of the fund raisers, "More than one-half" of it is to be used for Jewish relief in Europe." Another 40 per cent will go to the United Palestine relief in *constructive activities* in that land, *and the balance for aid of Jewish immigrants who come to this country."*

That which goes to Jewish relief surely nobody will begrudge. But what use is to be made of the \$68,000,000 for "constructive activities" in Palestine? Will any of this vast sum go to support the Freiheit plan? Will it get into the hands of the Palestine Communist Party or its infiltrating agents? Will any of these millions find their way into propaganda among the Arabs to make them hate America?

After the pogroms of Europe under the Nazis you would think these radical Jews would be willing to let their people alone in America, a country where they have enjoyed excellent community relationships; but on the other hand they appear to have gone insane over the triumph of their relatives in the Soviet Union. They ignore the fact that it cost the blood of millions of Gentiles and many Jews to accomplish the Marxist triumph there, and the further, appalling fact that *a counter-revolution is almost sure to come in time, perhaps wiping out all their brutally gotten gains.*

WHICH WAY?

All but two of the great nations of Christendom of thirty years ago now are gone or whittled down to impotency or lie sick from internal disorders and lack of united courage and spiritual strength. For this wrecking of the greatest civilization of the ages we can thank the Marxist movement. Now the two remaining great peoples, themselves suffering from an indigestible lump of Marxism in their stomachs, are being subjected to the merciless scourge of propaganda which seeks to throw one against the other,

in order to leave Stalin free to move and mate and slay at will on the world's chess board—the Dardanelles, the Suez, the Mediterranean, perhaps China, perhaps our neighbors in Central and South America, where the Revolution has risen swiftly in the past year.

A recent dispatch pictures the pitiful plight of one of the great Christian nations of a third of a century ago. It is sent by Manchester Boddy, publisher of the Los Angeles *Daily News*, who often, before going to Europe, had been accused of leaning toward the Left: "What I found in the Hof area adds evidence to the uncomfortable feeling that the German nation—that is, the collective *people* of Germany—are disappearing as an entity like a block of disintegrating ice in a relentless sea."

Pity the world caught in the cosmic ray of hatred and Master Race paranoia!

To separate us from Britain and lay both nations open to the fate of Germany—or Russia—the Red propagandists are representing Britain to us as Nazi-like persecutors of Jewish refugees in Palestine. We are supposed now to begin hating Britons as we hated the Nazis. Ben Hecht's play, *A Flag is Born*, is so extreme in spreading this disease of hate that the British have protested its showing. There is talk that Hollywood is to release one or more pictures on the same theme. Reds control nearly all the writing for Hollywood films. Hollywood has measureless influence over American audiences. If you doubt that it can incite us to war, remember the hysterical hatred of the Nazis which swept the country as film after film was released dramatizing Nazis' brutality. If you doubt that Hollywood is largely biased in favor of Red Fascism, just try to remember a single film picturing the current threatening dictator as a Hitler. On the Red Square in Moscow they "Heil" and goose-step with no less vicious intent than the Nazis under Hitler, but our film producers don't dramatize it.

The real danger is here at home. Several millions of our people have been so confused and misguided I am afraid they would side with the Soviets in case of a Revolution here which appeared likely to succeed. This condition would have been intolerable enough in the days when wars and revolutions were fought man to man. Today it is immeasurably more dangerous, with the minority much in control of propaganda machines with which to fire the public mind and, at the timely moment, create hysteria and confusion. Anti-Communists have great difficulty in getting in a word over the ether telling us about the Communist menace and the Fifth Column: the FCC has stood like a watchdog favoring the Left for several years. Privately financed pressure groups, the Gestapos, in turn stand watching the FCC as well as the radio outlets, as well as the movie production studios and the press, ready to defame and intimidate any opposition to Communism which threatens to become influential.

Sitting on this atomic-bomb dump we continue to think in terms of the last war—a war in which soldiers did the fighting. Our next war is more likely to be fought by civilians, at least unless we can do something about our massive Fifth Column. By organized sabotage our major cities all could be laid low in a few hours by super-explosives smuggled across our

borders or out of our atomic stores, planted and timed to a pre-determined D-Day. By injecting new poisons into water systems, a few squads, infiltrating public utilities as employees, likewise could paralyse the urban population of the country. The Four Horsemen could spread new and deadly disease before they could be apprehended.

For the first time in history there are weapons which make world dictatorship a possibility. Is it by some diabolical plan of Satan that at the same time there is—also for the first time in history—a movement which seriously threatens all governments and all peoples?

At this convergence of military science with political conspiracy we have done a most amazing thing: We have placed the Manhattan Project—meaning our entire atomic fission industry, with its supposed secrets—in the hands of a board which is so suspect that Army officers have refused to deliver the secrets to them, short of a direct order from the President.

Here is what the *Los Angeles Examiner* said of three members of the board (January 9, 1947):

"David E. Lilienthal, head of the board, is a long-time ardent New Dealer and a member of at least two Communist-dominated organizations (unless he has resigned very recently), the National Lawyers Guild and the Southern Conference of Human Welfare.

"The FBI and the House Committee on un-American activities hold these groups to be potentially subversive, aligned with the world movement to overthrow this government.

"Associated with Lilienthal on the atomic energy board is Lewis E. Strauss, also a New Dealer, and a member of Kuhn, Loeb & Company, international banking firm. Americans traditionally distrust International bankers, remembering how many of them have figured in world power politics and exploitation.

"A third member of the atomic energy board, William W. Waymack, editor of the Des Moines *Register*, reportedly is listed in the potentially subversive files of the FBI and the House Committee on un-American activities. Waymack is vice-president of the Americans United for World Government, and a member of the pro-Soviet National Committee for Civilian Control of Atomic Energy. He also was a sponsor of a group working for 'medical aid to Soviet Russia' and a speaker before the Communist Russian War Relief—as reported in the pro-Communist magazine, 'Soviet Russia Today'.

"It is to be hoped that the new Congress will act swiftly to have these men replaced by persons who believe unequivocally in America and whom the public and the Army and the Congress can trust."

If you read in the pages of history that a great nation placed a world-conquering secret in the hands of five men, three of whom were known to be friendly to a nation admittedly hostile and long bent on world conquest, what would you think?

The "bee" is on us. We indict ourselves for acts of our government which we tolerate. Then should we say: Never in history were there so many fools in one nation at one time!

WE MUST ACT

It is the world picture of thirty years of killing and degradation—the picture of Siberian slave camps, of Dachau and Belsen, of Revolution in Spain—much of it due to racial hatred—which comes before us as we witness an Anti-Defamation League movie of exaggerated racism here at home. It is the Freiheit plan for creating a Yiddish speaking Master Race here and all over the world, following the pattern of the Soviet Union, and joining hands with that blood-drenched government, which comes to mind when we hear a "tailored" radio program or a speaker "educating" our children by attacking patriotism and loyalty to western traditions and culture. It is the picture of the curtain now closing on Christian Poland which comes to mind when we see propaganda designed to create a Brahman privileged race in America through the passage of the mis-called Fair Employment Practices measure and laws making anti-Semitism a crime.

The time is late but not too late perhaps for a counter-revolution of truth-telling and for drastic government action to break up the secret defamation leagues, the Communist Party and all other groups which prey on racism and Anglo-Saxon idealism. We must discredit the false leadership which makes use of the Jewish people for selfish ends, and throw our support to the wiser leaders who stand for normal community relationships among all races.

I cannot quit this subject without mentioning a few of the wide range of changes which may be necessary or advisable in preventing catastrophe. First we should recognize the enemy for what he is—the *enemy*. Despite his deception he has made it clear at all times that he is out to destroy us, by force if necessary, and to set up a Communist regime under Moscow domination. We should strike first. To wait for the enemy, internal and external, to strike would be insane, giving him all the advantage. Our economy, under a staggering debt, will not permit us to maintain forever a \$12,000,000,000 annual budget for men and machines of defense, made necessary by the existence of both an internal and an external threat. We need an offensive to prevent the expected offensive.

What kind of offensive would be *American*?

Externally, we should start rolling back the Red tide all over the fringe states and inside Russia itself by a propaganda offensive of truth-telling, informing the people of the truth about America and about their own vicious leaders and encouraging them to form resistance groups. You may say they are helpless, having no arms: but there usually appears a leader if the tension becomes great enough—one of their powerful Army generals might side with his people against the tight little circle of Destroyers; and guerilla groups always find ways to smuggle in small arms. A

few hundred million dollars spent on a propaganda war might help prevent "the next way"—which would cost too many billions of dollars and too much human life to dwell on the subject.

Above all, we must break up the interlocking Red conspiracies here at home and prevent them from re-establishing leadership and spreading propaganda. If drastic measures are required, they still are preferable to the alternative of a modern civil war. Strong aggressive action might bring us many years of peace.

I list here some proposals for consideration:

1. Outlaw Communism as a vehicle of a foreign power aiming at destruction of this government; arrest agents for Communistic activities. Would we recognize Hitler's machine operating in America, or permit Murder. Inc., to organize our youth in every city? It was never intended that the Constitution should extend protection to its enemies; that watchdog over human rights does not (and could not) guarantee freedom and at the same time license to destroy freedom.

2. Since it is the responsibility of the FBI to watch the civilian front, recognize this agency as a fourth military defensive arm and enormously increase its strength to cope with an unwieldy enemy. Give it rein to act—to seize organizations and files and individuals inimical to our form of government (Nazi, Fascist and Communist).

3. Instruct the Attorney General to act vigorously to provide legal machinery so that the work of the FBI may be accomplished—and so that innocent citizens, should they too be falsely accused, may have a speedy hearing. (It must not be supposed that there would be widespread persecution of innocents under such a campaign; courts—like Military Intelligence—would have no time for the innocuous cases; their attention would be required by the flagrant, dangerous cases, with long records of activities.)

4. Clean out the Reds from key positions in the Armed forces and from all government jobs.

5. Change guard at the Federal Communication Commission, substituting persons who cannot be influenced by radical un-American groups. This is of the greatest importance, permitting radio commentators to explain to the people fully every fact and phase of the Red menace. An informed public can whip Communism.

6. Stop the illegal tide of immigration. Despite the secrecy of our Immigration Service and cooperating agencies on this subject—a secrecy utterly without justification and which could be desired only for concealing enormous irregularities—some of our Congressional spokesmen now claim that hundreds of thousands of European immigrants, largely Jewish, are coming across our borders, legally and illegally. From my own studies of immigrants from Eastern Europe during the war, I know that among them are many pro-Communists. (Many of these immigrants,

drafted into the Army, were granted citizenship after 90 days' service, and the War Department encouraged this process by pressuring Commanding officers and Intelligence officers.) But all Jewish immigrants, Communist and anti-Communist, immediately after arrival are under strong pressure to side with the Marxist groups, and most of them will have to yield.

7. Find an adequate home for the homeless Jews of Europe and for all pro-Communist Jewish aliens in America. It is downright stupid to say that there is no place left on this big earth for them. There are undeveloped fertile stretches of geography in several sectors of the globe. I choose New Guinea, first, because I am convinced that great cities someday will gleam on that fertile subcontinent. With a climate much like that of Miami Beach, with malaria and other tropical diseases now conquerable, this virgin island could support 100,000,000 people. It is now principally occupied by 100,000 natives. By agreement with Australia for the eastern end or with the Netherlands for the western end of the island, we could establish a protectorate and an eight-year settlement program, leading up to independence for a new Jewish nation.

This plan would not please the Communists and Political Zionists; it would make the Freiheit planners and their Moscow mentors burst a blood vessel. Dr. Rabinowitch shamed the Political Zionists for refusing to allow homeless Jews to accept Australia's offer to settle in that country. But must we ask them how to run our domestic and foreign policy? Rather, must we keep on asking them? Such a plan would take the propagandatorn, hungry and homeless Jews of the world out of the hands of such plotters and give them a new life.

8. Bar known pro-Communists from positions where they may fan the flames of Revolution—the press, the radio, movie production studios, above all, labor unions. Doubtless a law outlawing Communist activities would cover this requirement.

9. Break up the labor monopolies (the closed shop and industry-wide bargaining) now, while we are at peace and while the people, including more than half of the industrial workers themselves, are demanding individual freedom and individual responsibility in the field of competition.

Labor unions have reached a position of terrible power, just as Marx predicted they would. Now both Marxist and the non-Marxist union heads are talking about unifying their forces and they are threatening Congress with talk that any weakening of the pro-union laws will drive labor into the Red camp. The union bosses have effective propaganda power with which to drive labor leftward, and many of the propaganda machines are in the hands of leftists.

If we surrender now, the Marxists will consolidate and take over more and more union power, biding their time. Catching us in an economic crisis or threatened with war, they will refuse to let their membership produce the goods needed to save us—unless we completely sell out to them.

It was like that in France in the late 1930s. There the Marxist unions and the fellow-traveling politicians and propagandists, under the Moscow inspiration, sneered at nationalism, or patriotism, so that France was lost before Hitler fired a shot.

But there is much that we may and must do without waiting for Congress. We can encourage self-reliance as opposed to state paternalism. We can check into our local school systems to see that Red indoctrination is not going on there. We can encourage the revival of character-building biographies; we can insist on a revival of pride in the historic rise of Western peoples—including Anglo-Saxons, the watchdogs of freedom—from serfdom to self-reliance. In short, we should dig up Horatio Alger, American.

And, whatever else we do, we must take our children and young people back to the churches.

ROBERT H. WILLIAMS

January 31, 1947